## **EXHIBIT 4**

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3	
4	IN RE: CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT) ,
	ANTITRUST LITIGATION Master File No.
5	CV-07-5944 SC
6	MDL No. 1917
7	
8	
9	
10	HEARING BEFORE SPECIAL MASTER MARTIN QUINN, JAMS
11	San Francisco, California
12	October 3, 2016
13	3:28 P.M 4:27 P.M.
14	
15	
16	Reported by:
	KENNETH T. BRILL
17	CSR NO. 12797
18	
19	Job No. 2455107-B
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	PAGES 1 - 49
	Page 1

```
1
    APPEARANCES:
2
    For the Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs:
3
4
5
         TRUMP, ALIOTO, TRUMP & PRESCOTT
               MARIO ALIOTO, ESQUIRE
6
7
                LAUREN CLARE CAPURRO, ESQUIRE
8
         2280 Union Street
9
         San Francisco, CA 94123
         (415) 563-7200
10
11
         jmalioto@aliotolaw.com
12
         laurenrussell@tatp.com
13
14
15
         via telephone:
16
         BRAMSON, PLUTZIK, MAHLER & BIRKHAEUSER
17
         BY: DANIEL E. BIRKHAEUSER, ESQUIRE
18
         2125 Oak Grove Road, Suite 120
19
         Walnut Creek, CA 94598
20
         (925) 945-0200
21
         dbirkhaeuser@bramsonplutzik.com
22
23
24
25
                                            Page 2
```

```
1
    APPEARANCES: (continued)
2
3
         LAW OFFICES OF FRANCIS O. SCARPULLA
4
5
         BY: FRANCIS O. SCARPULLA, ESQUIRE
               PATRICK B. CLAYTON, ESQUIRE
6
7
         456 Montgomery Street, 17th Floor
8
         San Francisco, CA 94104
9
         (415) 693-0700
10
         fos@scarpullalaw.com
11
         pbc@scarpullalaw.com
12
13
14
         via telephone:
         LAW OFFICES OF THERESA D. MOORE
15
16
             THERESA D. MOORE, ESQUIRE
17
         One Sansome Street, 35th Floor
18
         San Francisco, CA 94104
19
         (415) 434-8900
20
         tmoore@aliotolaw.com
21
22
23
24
25
                                            Page 3
```

```
1
    APPEARANCES: (continued)
2
3
         via telephone:
         STRAUS & BOIES, LLP
4
5
         BY: NATHAN CIHLAR, ESQUIRE
               TIMOTHY BATTIN, ESQUIRE
6
7
         4041 University Drive, Fifth Floor
8
         Fairfax, VA 22030
         (703) 764-8700
9
         tbattin@straus-boies.com
10
11
12
13
14
15
         KAG LAW GROUP
         BY: SYLVIE K. KERN, ESQUIRE
16
17
         2532 Lake Street
18
         San Francisco, CA 94121
19
         (415) 221-5763
20
         sylviekern@yahoo.com
21
22
23
24
25
                                            Page 4
```

```
1
    A P P E A R A N C E S: (continued)
2
3
          KIRBY MCINERNEY LLP
4
5
         BY: ROBERT J. GRALEWSKI, JR., ESQUIRE
          600 B Street, Suite 1900
6
7
          San Diego, CA 92101
8
         (212) 371-6600
9
         bgralewski@kmllp.com
10
11
12
          ZELLE HOFMANN VOELBEL & MASON LLP
13
         BY: CHRISTOPHER T. MICHELETTI, ESQUIRE
14
15
          44 Montgomery Street, Suite 3400
16
          San Francisco, CA 94104
17
         (215) 567-6565
18
          cmicheletti@zelle.com
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
                                              Page 5
```

```
1
     APPEARANCES: (continued)
 2
          via telephone:
 3
          FREEDMAN BOYD HOLLANDER GOLDBERG URIAS & WARD P.A.
 4
 5
          BY: JOSEPH GOLDBERG, ESQUIRE
          20 First Plaza N.W., Suite 700
 6
 7
          Albuquerque, NM 87102
 8
          (505) 244-7520
 9
          JG@FBDLAW.com
10
11
12
13
          via telephone:
          HULETT HARPER STEWART LLP
14
15
          BY: DENNIS STEWART, ESQUIRE
          550 West C Street, Suite 1500
16
17
          San Diego, CA 92101
          (619) 338-1133
18
19
          dennis@hulettharper.com
20
21
22
23
24
25
                                                    Page 6
```

```
1
    APPEARANCES: (continued)
2
3
         via telephone:
4
5
         COOPER & KIRKHAM
         BY: JOSEF D. COOPER, ESQUIRE
6
7
         357 Tehama Street, 2nd Floor
         San Francisco, CA 94103
8
9
         (415) 788-3030
         jdc@coopkirk.com
10
11
12
13
14
         via telephone:
15
         FINE, KAPLAN AND BLACK, R.P.C.
16
         BY: GERARD A. DEVER, ESQUIRE
         One S. Broad Street, 23rd Floor
17
18
         Philadelphia, PA 19107
19
         (215) 567-6565
20
         gdever@finekaplan.com
21
22
23
24
25
                                            Page 7
```

```
A P P E A R A N C E S: (continued)
1
2
3
          via telephone:
4
          MILBERG LLP
          BY: ELIZABETH MCKENNA, ESQUIRE
5
          One Kennedy Square
6
7
          777 Woodward Avenue, Suite 890
8
          Detroit, MI 48226
          (212) 631-8605
9
10
          emckenna@milberg.com
11
12
    Also Present: Marlo Cohen, via telephone
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
                                              Page 8
```

1	San Francisco, California, Monday October 3, 2016
2	3:28 p.m.
3	
4	THE COURT: Okay. Can we start getting the
5	names of the people who are on the telephone, please, if
6	you could give me your names slowly, that would be
7	great.
8	MR. BIRKHAEUSER: Good afternoon, Your Honor,
9	Dan Birkhauser, Bramson, Plutzik, Mahler & Birkhauser.
10	MS. COHEN: This is Marlo Cohen here.
11	MR. STEWART: Good afternoon, this is Dennis
12	Stewart.
13	MR. DEVER: Good afternoon, this is Gerry
14	Dever.
15	THE COURT: From what firm?
16	MR. DEVER: From Fine, Kaplan and Black.
17	THE COURT: Okay. Thanks.
18	MR. BATTIN: Good afternoon, Your Honor, this
19	is Tim Battin of Straus & Boeis with Nate Cihlar of
20	Straus & Boeis as well.
21	MR. GOLDBERG: Good afternoon, Mr. Quinn.
22	This is Joe Goldberg in Albuquerque, New Mexico.
23	MS. McKENNA: Good afternoon, this is Liz
24	McKenna from Millberg, LLP.
25	THE COURT: Okay. Anyone else? All right.
	Page 9

```
Well, welcome, everybody, we'll start the
 1
 2
     hearing -- oops, who just joined?
               MS. MOORE: Theresa Moore.
 3
               MR. COOPER: Josef Cooper.
 4
               THE COURT: All right. Now we'll start the
 5
 6
     hearing.
 7
               On Mr. Alioto's allocation, I guess, do you
     want to make some opening comments?
 8
9
               MR. ALIOTO: Yes, please, if Your Honor
10
     please. We have a fairly substantial record in this
11
     matter, and in light of that substantial record, I think
     I can be pretty brief on what we did. I'd just like to
12
13
     highlight a few things and I would like to draw your
     attention to our -- what we call the master declaration
14
     or the main declaration which we filed in support of the
15
     original motion for attorneys' fees. That bears --
16
               THE COURT: Back in 2015?
17
18
               MR. ALIOTO: Yes, sir, yes. And that bears
19
     docket number 4071-1. And what we did in there, Special
     Master, was give a summary of the case, start to finish.
20
21
     Unfortunately, we're not finished, but from start to
22
     around the time of preliminary approval, summarizing the
23
     case and our involvement in it, and looking over the --
     the declaration, I don't think we blew our horn as much
24
     as we could have, and I'm afraid as a result of that
25
                                                       Page 10
```

maybe the full scope of this case and what was involved 1 2 and what we did didn't come across to the district 3 court. We -- that's -- I have nobody to blame for 4 5 that but myself, but I still think that this declaration is the best indication of what was done here over the 6 7 past eight years. It sets forth the major developments in the 8 9 case, and let's be perfectly clear about our 10 involvement. We were involved in every single thing in this case from start to finish. 11 12 We're still involved. We're still leading the support of the settlements. We're still in charge of 13 14 handling the fees. The case is still going on. There's 15 been a mass of filings in the wake of preliminary approval. The case is still going ahead, full speed 16 ahead. 17 18 We have 14 appeals in the Ninth Circuit. 19 There is going to be further motion practice. There is going to be briefing. There is going to be further --20 21 going to be further work on -- on settlements. As you 22 know, we have that Chunghwa settlement that's on a little different track. 23 The work goes on. And my office is doing it. 24 25 Leading it and doing it. That's what we've been doing Page 11

for the past eight years, certainly not all by ourselves because these cases, as lead counsel, you're tasked with getting the best people to do specific jobs and that's what we've done.

And you -- you sit here and you get criticized for bringing people into the case. It's characterized as subcontracting things out, or sloughing things off. It's bringing the best possible people into the case to get the best possible result. That's what we've done and continue to do.

This declaration, docket 4071, will show you our complete involvement. And if there is any doubt, because in going over the -- the declaration in detail, sometimes when we're describing activities I don't make the -- the extra statement, and we were doing it, but I am telling you right now on this record, everything that is described in that declaration my office was doing, either myself, Ms. Capurro, Mr. Patane, or contract people that we utilized to work on this case, from day one until the time of preliminary approval.

Let me also say that this case -- and I'm not going to bore you, you hear this all the time with the difficulty of the case and how -- how hard we worked, well, yes it was difficult and yes, we did work very hard on it and got an excellent result. It's all in

here.

But this case is not one case. It's 20 cases.

And I don't think anyone who hasn't really been in the trenches in one of these cases understands what that means. And I'm afraid I didn't convey that impression to Judge Tigar, maybe I did and maybe I didn't, but let me try and be very brief and give you some sense of what I mean by 20 cases.

It's a indirect purchaser class action involving multi -- many states and many claims. Very significant piece of litigation in itself. It's a direct purchaser class action, very substantial piece of litigation in itself.

It involved 13 direct action cases by very substantial corporate plaintiffs, resellers, retailers, people up the chain purchasing and selling tubes, 13 indirect -- 13 direct action plaintiffs.

It involved at its height, five state actions by attorneys general, and I've dealt with all of these people. You'll hear as part of these proceedings these arguments about the California attorney general, well, that's -- that was -- that's the half of it. I also dealt with the attorneys general for State of Illinois, Washington, Oregon -- just got off the telephone with the attorney general for the State of Oregon Friday, as

a matter of fact. State of Florida, they had an action at one time. And -- and one other, five AG actions, two major class actions, 13 direct actions, that's 20 actions in one proceeding.

Let me give you an example of what that means when you have 20 actions in one case. That means when there are expert reports, which I was very heavily involved in, especially the damages reports, time spent on that, I can't begin to tell you what was involved in that, especially on the expert -- the damage work.

There were 17 designated experts, four reports per expert. By my count that's 68 expert reports. And you don't have the luxury of looking at your expert and seeing what your expert's doing and preparing your expert; you have to have an understanding of what's going on out there with all of the experts. Because they're opining on the overcharge. The overcharge at the top level, that's common to all the cases. And it's very important that those experts are doing the same thing and analyzing the same data so you don't come out with experts arriving at 10 percent overcharges and experts arriving at 30 percent overcharges. You need to get people on the same page. I did that. And it is not an easy task to do that with 20 separate actions.

That's an example of what I mean by this is

```
not a normal case. It's 20 cases. And the same can be
 1
     said for everything in this declaration I filed. When
 2
     you talk about setting up merit depositions there are
 3
     20 --
 4
               THE COURT: May I make a suggestion?
 5
 6
               MR. ALIOTO: Yes.
 7
               THE COURT: We have limited time and, you
 8
     know, I have limited general awareness of how complex
9
     these cases are. Be sure you focus on some of the
10
     criticisms that people have made about your allocation.
               MR. ALIOTO: I was going to wait to hear the
11
     criticisms and then focus on them, Your Honor. I think
12
13
     that -- I would rather proceed that way.
               THE COURT: Well --
14
               MR. ALIOTO: If you don't mind because, for
15
     the most part, they're handled in the briefs, and I'd
16
     just like to conclude this part on --
17
18
               THE COURT: All right.
19
               MR. ALIOTO: -- the work. And again, because
     there has been some suggestion even in some of the
20
21
     orders that we got a good result because there was a lot
22
     of damage involved, we got a good result because there's
     a lot of sales and a lot of commerce involved.
23
               I don't think that's fair to say. I really
24
     don't think that's fair to say. If you look at this
25
                                                       Page 15
```

declaration, it will give you an idea, the fact questions, the law questions, the amount of time and the risk. And we were involved in every aspect of it, even though I've neglected to say, we did this, we did that, every other thing, every single one of the aspects of the case we were involved, led it, oversaw it and were hands-on, sleeves rolled up on it. That's all I want to say as far as our affirmative work.

Secondly, on our allocation, how did we arrive at the number we arrived at, the two-nine multiplier?

Well, we certainly didn't arrive at that or pull it out of the air or say we needed that or say that's what we're going to have.

How we arrived at that was we worked with all of the firms in the case to arrive at what we thought were fair numbers for them and we got feedback from these firms as to what they thought were fair numbers for us. And as part of that process, our number, our multiplier number moved -- moved down in order to get an allocation of this fee that we thought my dream or my goal was to get 100 percent approval.

I put to one side the objectors, I don't count them as part of this effort. This is -- I'm talking about the people who were in the case and who are pushing to get this case approved. And we did -- as I

explained earlier this morning my methodology to try and 1 get an agreement on this allocation, and I think it is 2 3 very telling and we -- we moved people, we moved people around and we moved ourselves. 4 5 And I think it is very telling, Your Honor, 6 that after this process of the firms that are pushing to 7 get this settlement done, we have one firm, Mr. Gralewski's firm, that has criticized, challenged 8 9 our allocation. One firm out of 150. 10 Now, again, putting to one side the objectors, 11 but again, I don't view them as part of this effort, they're on a separate track, they have a separate 12 agenda. They are not -- they're not pushing for 13 14 settlement, they're pushing for other things. But I think that that speaks pretty highly of not only our 15 efforts in the case, but our efforts in doing this 16 allocation to try and get a equitable result. 17 18 Now, I'll be the first --19 THE COURT: Don't we have the McCullum Hoagland firm, the Glancy Prongay firm and the Terrell 20 21 McCallum firm that have filed objections as well, and 22 aren't they part of your cooperative group? 23 MR. ALIOTO: Well, are they -- the point I'm trying to make is there are people out there -- and let 24 me say this, there are also people in the group that 25 Page 17

feel they should have been higher. And let me just say 1 2 a word about them. Let me kind of commend them because, 3 as I said, as we gave -- as we gave ground, as we came down in our amounts, other firms came down in their 4 5 amounts because they put the objective of getting this done above the objective of getting every last dollar. 6 And there are a number of firms in there that did that. 7 They're not necessarily happy, but they -- but they came 8 9 to a result to get this done. 10 Now, yes, there are other objectors, but 11 they're not so much in the objection of lead counsel is getting too much; they're more along the line of I 12 13 should be bumped. THE COURT: I see. 14 MR. ALIOTO: Or he didn't do a good job with 15 The only real people that are taking on lead 16 counsel are the objector group and Mr. Gralewski. 17 18 I don't think I could really add to that, Your 19 Honor, and I don't want to say they -- they're happy about it, or they're glowingly recommending it because 20 21 they feel they should have had more, a lot of these 22 firms. 23 The -- the aggregate award, you know, the history of the -- of the award, and people didn't come 24 out where they felt they should have come out and where 25 Page 18

we thought we had a strong argument under the facts and 1 the law where they -- where they should have been, they 2 didn't reach it. But they have moved on. They've taken 3 their awards and they want to get it done. And I think 4 you have to commend these people for doing that and 5 6 trying to get it done. 7 And as I say, it also reflects, I -- I 8 respectfully submit, it reflects very well on the job 9 that lead counsel did in the underlying case and in the 10 allocation. That's all I'd like to say for my opening, 11 Your Honor, thank you. THE COURT: Okay. Let's go around the table. 12 13 Mr. Micheletti, anything to add? 14 MR. MICHELETTI: No. THE COURT: Mr. Gralewski, anything beyond 15 what you've already said in your own hearing? 16 MR. GRALWESKI: Just one comment, Your Honor. 17 18 You know, to be clear, Kirby believes lead counsel 19 should have a higher multiplier than anyone else because of the superior management and skill that they did bring 20 21 to the case, just not a multiplier that results in 22 29 percent of the fee for 16 percent of the work. You look at some of the other core firms like 23 Kirby and Straus & Bois, and we are at 10 to 12 percent 24 of the fee for 10 to 12 percent of the work. And really 25 Page 19

```
the objection centers on that. We're not disputing the
 1
 2
     fact that -- that lead counsel did an exceptional job
     with the case, just the -- those numbers are out of
 3
     whack.
 4
 5
               THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Scarpulla?
 6
               MR. SCARPULLA: Yes, Your Honor.
 7
               Your Honor, I've said most of it in our
 8
     papers. I'll make just a couple of observations here.
9
     Mr. Alioto claims that his firm was involved in
10
     everything. All the firms in this case were involved in
     everything. Let's not kid each other about that. The
11
12
     people who did the work in this case did the substantive
13
     work, the antitrust lawyers who did the substantive work
14
     were at Zelle, were at Kirby McInerney, were at Straus &
     Bois and other firms, and those were the people who did
15
     the work across the boards.
16
               So I'm not talking about a firm that did a
17
18
     specific assignment. I'm talking about firms that had
19
     responsibility across the boards. Those are the three
     firms that ought to get the highest multiples.
20
21
               THE COURT: Are you saying that the Trump
22
     Alioto folks did not -- were not involved in almost all
23
     the work and management?
24
               MR. SCARPULLA: They were not.
               THE COURT: Do you want to --
25
                                                       Page 20
```

MR. SCARPULLA: I don't think they took any 1 2 depositions. I don't think they argued any significant motions. I don't think they did any significant 3 pleading -- producing pleadings. I think those were 4 5 other firms. The expert witness stuff was done by Chris 6 7 Micheletti who's sitting here, and Craig Corbitt at 8 Zelle. That's who -- they were responsible for that. 9 Most of the firms -- I've looked at 10 Mr. Alioto's time sheets and I have to tell you 11 something, and I've looked at Ms. Capurro's time sheets, they're all blocked billed, they're all 20.25 hours, and 12 you can't tell what any of them did on any specific task 13 14 because it's not separated out. And if you look at them, for example, let me 15 just give you an example here, on January 17th, 2014, 16 Mr. Alioto is charging the class at about \$800 an hour 17 18 to organize files. Now that's not something that a lead 19 counsel does; they don't organize files. They have 2,161 hours of meetings, attorney meetings, and most of 20 21 them are with themselves. 22 You go through their time sheets and you'll see that Mr. Alioto talks to Mr. Patane, Mr. Patane, 23 talks to Ms. Capurro and Ms. Capurro talks to Mario 24 Alioto. That's not something that should be rewarded, 25 Page 21

Your Honor. What gets rewarded is people who do 1 2 substantive work, like taking the key depositions, like arguing the class motion. Mr. Micheletti and Ms. Zahid 3 argued that right here in this room in front of Your 4 5 Honor. Mr. Alioto didn't argue that case. They didn't argue any of the Summary Judgment motions. They didn't 6 7 argue them. They filed pieces of paper but I don't 8 think any of those end-of-the-case summary judgment 9 motions actually were heard. I just don't remember them being heard. 10 And I don't think the motions in limine were 11 ever argued in front of the judge. I don't think so. 12 13 If they were, I -- I wasn't -- I didn't go to them because I didn't know about them. 14 So when you -- if you look at the time sheets, 15 and that's what I respectfully suggest you -- somebody 16 needs to do, whether it's Your Honor or whether you hire 17 18 somebody to do it, but if you'll look at Judge Wilkins' 19 decision in the -- in Keller against National Collegiate Athletic Association, she goes through the entire method 20 21 for allocating fees in a case where she has already 22 awarded a gross percentage of the fund fee. And she looks at everybody's time sheets. 23 THE COURT: Do you have a cite for that, just 24 to make my life easier? 25

MR. SCARPULLA: I only have a Westlaw cite, 1 2 I'm sorry. THE COURT: That will do. 3 MR. SCARPULLA: 2015 Westlaw 8916392. 4 THE COURT: Great. 5 MR. SCARPULLA: It is an exhaustive opinion 6 7 about allocating fees and what is excessive time and what isn't. 8 9 Do you know in this case, Your Honor, there 10 were 72,000 hours spent reviewing documents. 72,000 11 hours total. If you're going to charge that at anywhere near 350 to \$400 an hour, with a multiple, you're 12 13 talking about 30 to \$40 million being paid for looking 14 at documents. I mean, the idea that people are doing that 15 anymore is beyond me. You put these things in a 16 software system, it gives you -- you know, it will give 17 18 you documents where you can -- where you can search for 19 specific words and you can do it in multiple languages. It's not like you have to have somebody translating the 20 21 document, all the documents. You pick out the ones you 22 think are important and you have those translated. And 23 you can get these things translated for \$35 at a regular old translating organization instead of paying some 24 lawyer \$450 an hour to translate a Japanese document. 25 Page 23

And anyway, let me just say something about the attorneys general in Illinois, Washington, and Oregon. I dealt with them in LCDs and we worked out a deal for them in LCDs.

When they popped up in this case, Mr. Alioto called me up and asked me what I did with them in LCDs, and how to handle it, and I told him and that's when he did, and now he's claiming he knows how to handle the AGs.

I think, Your Honor, that there is an audit report that some folks did in this case on the allocation of the fees. I believe there to be such a document and I would respectfully request that that be made part of the record because that will tell Your Honor what was initially suggested and what, if anything, was changed there.

And then there is also the question of whether there was an agreement with Mr. Alioto and Zelle where Zelle would act as essentially a colead counsel with Mr. Alioto. That's relevant in this proceeding for allocating fees for the following reasons: If in fact it is correct that Mr. Alioto had to have Zelle do the work of a lead counsel, then his firm should not be compensated with a multiple that is only for lead counsel work, that's number one.

Number two, it also is important because if 1 2 that is going to be applied, if it is enforceable, which I believe it may be, then it affects -- then it affects 3 the Zelle time and it certainly affects my time because 4 I get the same multiple. 5 And so, therefore, that document, it's a 6 7 series of e-mails, I understand, ought to be made part 8 of the record so that if there is any -- if we have to 9 talk about it in front of Judge Tigar, he's -- it's in 10 the record. And if it goes up on appeal to the Ninth Circuit, it's in the record. 11 THE COURT: What about that, Mr. Alioto, while 12 we're just pausing on that point, you know, given my 13 14 desire to not hide the ball here, I know we haven't 15 looked at that other report up to now, is it about time we did? 16 17 MR. ALIOTO: I'm sorry, Your Honor, there was 18 an audit report on expenses which is in the record. 19 THE COURT: No, I thought there was an audit committee that you put together of various counsel who 20 21 looked at the time records of the different firms and 22 made some adjustments or judgments. 23 MR. ALIOTO: Yes, there's -- there's no report as such. What happened was we set up a criteria for 24 review of time records, and the half a dozen firms or 25 Page 25

so, we split up all of the firms, and about six or seven 1 firms reviewed all of these time records. 2 I -- it was a -- kind of a one-on-one with the 3 various firms and there was no formal audit report and 4 it was some negotiation and some back and forth and 5 6 working on it. I think that's what Mr. Scarpulla is 7 talking about. 8 But in terms of a report or an audit report, 9 there was nothing. There were just changes in the 10 lodestar, reductions in the lodestar which we then 11 submitted to the court. THE COURT: Okay. Well, the Court is not 12 13 interested in looking at a lot of handwritten scraps of 14 paper with notes of a telephone conversation with this 15 firm or that firm, but was there anything beyond that, any minutes of meetings, any compilations, any, you 16 know, arithmetic calculations, anything that would give 17 18 us some insight into that? Ms. Capurro wants your 19 attention. MR. ALIOTO: No, it would be more in the 20 21 nature of worksheets and work-up and interlineations and 22 correspondence from the firm handling another firm. was fairly fragmented. It wasn't in the nature of a 23 formal audit like the expense audit. That was formal. 24 And that -- that's been submitted, if that answers your 25

question. 1 THE COURT: Is there a file in your firm that 2 if I were to request, you know, give me all papers 3 relating to the audit of fees -- I'm not doing that, but 4 5 if I were to do that, could you walk in and grab a file and hand it to me, fragmented though it may be? 6 7 MR. ALIOTO: I don't know that I could, but I 8 could certainly find that out when we adjourn here 9 today, I could certainly get an answer to that question 10 for you. THE COURT: I only want things that are going 11 to be helpful to the Court. And, you know, these 12 13 adjustments have been made, the fee has been approved. We are where we are and we don't need to create more 14 problems, but I -- I would be curious what form these 15 documents are in. 16 17 MR. ALIOTO: Okay. We can certainly get that 18 to you. 19 THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead, Mr. Scarpulla. MR. SCARPULLA: Yes, Your Honor. 20 21 Your Honor, I understand that maybe 22 Mr. Micheletti has a copy of that audit report, so if 23 it's been circulated to people other than, you know, yours truly, then I'd like to see it, make it part of 24 the record. Anyway --25 Page 27

```
THE COURT: Well, do you have it?
 1
               MR. SCARPULLA: I don't have it.
 2
 3
               THE COURT: Oh.
               MR. SCARPULLA: I only have an e-mail from
 4
     Craig Corbitt that tells me that Mario and Chris
 5
     Micheletti have it.
 6
 7
               THE COURT: Mr. Micheletti, do you have a copy
 8
     of this elusive audit report?
9
               MR. MICHELETTI: I do not.
10
               THE COURT: All right. Go ahead,
11
     Mr. Scarpulla, next point.
               MR. SCARPULLA: And then, you know, the
12
13
     agreement, the original agreement independent -- there
     are two or three or four maybe e-mails early on where
14
15
     they agreed that apparently Zelle would be a colead.
     And then if that's the case, the fact that Mr. Alioto
16
     didn't assign work to people at Zelle doesn't matter
17
18
     because Zelle had the authority to do that. I'd like
19
     that in the record.
               THE COURT: I'm going to inquire about that,
20
21
     but I want you to get your points in.
22
               MR. SCARPULLA: Okay. All right. The other
     thing that Mr. -- that nobody, I don't think, from
23
     Mr. Alioto's firm has sworn -- and this is in a number
24
     of cases, Your Honor -- that nobody said that the hourly
25
                                                       Page 28
```

rate that is being charged to the class and therefore 1 part of the allocation is the normal hourly rates that 2 3 they charge their per diem clients. THE COURT: Actually, Mr. Goldberg did say 4 that. 5 6 MR. SCARPULLA: Mr. Goldberg did, but he's the 7 only one that I know of. So that's something that Judge 8 Wilkin thought was of importance in an allocation. 9 THE COURT: Well, I'd have to go back and look 10 at those 2015 declarations, I'll just leave it at that. I think that's a fair point and I need to look at those 11 2015 declarations. 12 13 MR. SCARPULLA: The other thing that Mr. Alioto -- with the time records were in his original 14 declaration, not only was there 2,000 hours with 15 meetings among him and a group of lawyers primarily in 16 his own firm, but they have 8,800 hours of reviewing 17 18 documents. There are three lawyers in that firm. 8,800 hours. 19 They have 1,500 hours of reviewing expert work 20 21 and I believe that Mr. Micheletti and Mr. Corbitt at 22 Zelle did most of the expert work. And speaking of the expert, did you know, Janet Ness (ph), she was our 23 expert. When we got close to trial, she was owed 24 approximately \$800,000. 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I kept telling Mr. Alioto that he had to get her paid, to send out assessments and get this woman paid, because you can't let her get up on a stand when she's owed money because then she's got an interest in it. And it took me forever to get her paid, and I finally had to keep -- I finally had to tell -- you know, Mr. Corbitt and I were beside ourselves, because we knew what the problem -- what would happen if she didn't get paid and had to get on stand and testify and somebody asked her that question. Now, they have -- Trump Alioto has 6,500 hours of motions and pleadings -- I'm sorry, I don't know -my recollection is that the class motion was done by Chris Micheletti and Judith Zahid and people at the Zelle firm. I know I looked it over and -- as it was going along and Craig Corbitt may have too, but I think the heavy lifting was done by them, maybe with help from --I don't know whether Kirby McInerney was involved in that, or whether Straus & Bois were, but certainly Zelle was the one who did that. Then the other thing we have here, Mr. Alioto has 1,800 hours in settlement discussions. I firmly believe that a very substantial portion of that has

nothing to do with settlement. It has to do with

```
fighting with the AG. That's not settlement. It's
 1
 2
     different.
               THE COURT: Your point, I think, if I get it
 3
     correctly, is that the -- the Alioto firm was lead
 4
 5
     counsel, but didn't do any of the really important
 6
     substantive work on the case; and number two, has
 7
     charged a bunch of hours for work that you would
 8
     characterize as, you know, not the really significant
9
     work --
10
               MR. SCARPULLA: Administerial things.
11
               THE COURT: -- that moved the case along.
               MR. SCARPULLA: Right.
12
13
               THE COURT: So did you in your objection --
14
     I'm sorry, because I haven't focused on your objections
     since we're not hearing it until Wednesday, did you
15
     propose a revised allocation?
16
               MR. SCARPULLA: I did, Your Honor.
17
18
               THE COURT: Okay. It thought you had. Al
     right.
19
               MR. SCARPULLA: It's Exhibit 3.
20
21
               THE COURT: All right.
22
               MR. SCARPULLA: I have nothing else, Your
     Honor, unless you have questions of me.
23
               THE COURT: Well, I do have a question about
24
     this alleged agreement between your firm, Mr. Alioto and
25
                                                       Page 31
```

the Zelle firm by which Zelle would act as colead 1 2 counsel, if not in name, at least in fact. What is there to that? 3 MR. ALIOTO: Well, as Your Honor may recall, 4 early on in these proceedings you asked for fee sharing 5 agreements. Some fee sharing agreements were submitted 6 to Your Honor. And those are all that I am aware of. I 7 8 don't have any agreement. I think there were a couple 9 of agreements submitted to you, but I certainly don't 10 have any agreement like that. I'll -- so that -- I hope that responds to that question. 11 12 THE COURT: So you have no agreement with the 13 Zelle firm that for some bizarre reason they will act as colead counsel even though they're not called colead 14 counsel? 15 16 MR. ALIOTO: That's right. MR. SCARPULLA: Your Honor, if I may, again, 17 18 Mr. Corbitt told me that he's got -- that he has those documents and that he turned them over to Mr. Micheletti 19 and Ms. Zahid just recently. 20 21 THE COURT: Mr. Micheletti, if this isn't 22 revealing any attorney-client or anything like that, is there anything to this allegation of Mr. Scarpulla's? 23 MR. MICHELETTI: No, there's not. I agree 24 25 with Mr. Alioto's characterization in the record and Page 32

```
there is no agreement.
 1
               THE COURT: Did Mr. Corbitt recently hand you
 2
     some hot documents?
 3
               MR. MICHELETTI: No. I had no discussions
 4
     with Mr. Corbitt about this case for some time.
 5
               THE COURT: He's still with the Zelle firm?
 6
 7
               MR. MICHELETTI: Yes.
               MR. SCARPULLA: Well, then, I'm going to ask
 8
 9
     Mr. Corbitt, if you don't mind, to show up when it's my
10
     turn.
11
               THE COURT: All right. Let's get to the
     bottom of it. I'll -- actually, Mr. Corbitt is entitled
12
13
     to speak because the Zelle firm put in a written
14
     objection -- or a written response.
15
               MR. SCARPULLA: Right.
               THE COURT: All right. Anything further,
16
     Mr. Alioto?
17
18
               MR. ALIOTO: Just, I'll say this.
19
     Mr. Scarpulla, we have some issues with Mr. Scarpulla,
     and he obviously has an agenda here. And let me do
20
21
     this, I'll deny every -- almost every point he made.
22
     They're not in the papers.
23
               Your Honor may recall on class certification I
24
     was sitting in this chair and you were sitting right
     there and before that when Judge Legg was the special
25
                                                       Page 33
```

master, Judge Legg was sitting right there and I was 1 sitting right here on all of the motions and I've 2 continued to argue motions in front of Judge Walker, and 3 been present at mediation sessions with Fern Smith, 4 argued in front of Judge Conti. So when Mr. Scarpulla 5 makes those kinds of claims, he just isn't well 6 7 informed. I won't go any further than that. Let's say he isn't well informed. 8 9 And if you look at the time that my firm 10 devoted to this case and compare it to what was done in the LCD case, which is in the record, we attached Your 11 Honor's allocation in the LCD case to our papers. 12 13 THE COURT: Brought back memories. 14 MR. ALIOTO: Yes, okay. This case was handled 15 in my view much more efficiently because there was only one lead counsel. There weren't all those issues where 16 you have different lead counsels and different factions. 17 18 The time spent here was much, much more efficient than 19 in LCD. And these other comments that Mr. Scarpulla 20 21 raises, I mean, he's just pulling things out of thin 22 air, really, to see what will stick, throwing things on the wall. That's been happening over the course of 23 these proceedings, maybe over the last two years. 24 Accusations, you didn't put the -- you said somebody 25

contributed to the fund, he didn't contribute to the fund. The next thing I have to do is go dig up the authorization for that. Of course he did it. I put it in a sworn declaration.

I think we need Mr. Scarpulla to substantiate a lot of these statements first before raising them and causing people to do a lot of work. I think we're at that stage of these proceedings that you really, instead of just coming in here and making statements that I haven't been at hearings or that so many hours were spent on document review, which is completely reasonable when you compare it to LCD, making accusations about secret deals on fee sharing, before he really makes those kinds of accusations, and you'll note that many of these accusations here aren't really in the papers, they just kind of sprung at this hearing today.

To go back on to my affirmative case here,

Special Master, just let the record reflect that all of
those indications by Mr. Scarpulla, me talking to

Mr. Scarpulla to get advice how to deal with the AGs,
completely, absolutely wrong, never had any contact with
him.

I wouldn't talk to Mr. Scarpulla because he didn't have assignments. He wasn't working on this case; he was working on LCD. So let me just blanket

deny all of those assertions by Mr. Scarpulla and come back to this point, the -- this affirmative point.

The spread between lead counsel in this case and the other firms in the case was much narrower than the spread in the LCD case. And I think that bears mentioning as well, especially since in this case you had one lead counsel, not two lead counsel with factions. You had one lead counsel really doing the work here.

And I think that that would support a larger spread as the spread was in LCD. But you will see that when you compare this spread to the spread in LCD between the leads and the other firms, it's not that wide a gap, which comes back to the fact that we allocated money from the top down the chain in order to get this thing done. I can represent that to you right now.

We allocated money down the chain of firms to get this done and, as a result, with the exception of this fringe group, these objectors, we have one firm within the case that has objected to our firm's allocation. And I think that is probably the most telling bit of information as to the fairness of this allocation. Thank you.

THE COURT: Just one factual point, can you

Page 36

clear up for me how much your firm contributed to the 1 common fund, the expense fund, apart from amounts that 2 came from early settlements? 3 MR. ALIOTO: Over a million dollars. It's in 4 the record. 5 THE COURT: Yeah. 6 7 MR. ALIOTO: A million-two, million-one, something in that neighborhood. 8 9 THE COURT: And that is over and above the 10 amounts that you took from the early settlements? 11 MR. ALIOTO: Yes, that is out-of-pocket cash money. Out of pocket at the time and a fee petition and 12 13 still out of pocket. THE COURT: I mean, this may not be part of my 14 business, I mean, it seems a little out of -- out of 15 whack, I mean, that's -- why did you arrange it in a way 16 that your firm would put a million bucks out at risk, 17 18 and the next highest is, you know, something in the 19 \$120,000 range? MR. ALIOTO: Because people --20 21 THE COURT: And their -- there are firms in 22 this case that are much bigger and probably have more money in the bank than you do. 23 MR. ALIOTO: That's right. Because raising 24 25 the money from the group, there was some sentiment among Page 37

the lawyers in the group and there are some people who 1 2 did not have the enthusiasm for the case, let me put it that way. They were not quite as invested in the case 3 before the big settlements came in. 4 There were serious questions about the judge, 5 6 there were serious questions as far as getting a trial. 7 There were serious questions about how good the case 8 was, and I remember when the last payments were made 9 into that fund, up -- the last payments made by my firm 10 were at the time when the trial team came in and we had discussions about all the things we needed to do and we 11 needed to do it quickly. I think this was around the 12 13 end of -- the end of December '14, early '15. We needed 14 money in the bank to finance the trial. 15 The war room, the rooms, just to have the money, we were going to be doing the jury work and I 16 didn't have time, Your Honor, to send out letters and 17 18 get dribs and drabs from here and there, so I put it up 19 myself out of a receivable that I got from another case 20 and I put it up myself. 21 THE COURT: So was -- let's say more than 22 500 --23 MR. ALIOTO: Yes. 24 THE COURT: -- thousand of your -- of your amount of your total million dollar contribution put up 25 Page 38

```
in the last five or six month of the case?
 1
               MR. ALIOTO: I don't know exactly how it broke
 2
     down, but there was a large demand for money late '14,
 3
     early '15, for the trial.
 4
 5
               THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Scarpulla?
 6
               MR. SCARPULLA: If that's the case, when Your
 7
     Honor ordered Mr. Alioto to produce the Trump Alioto
 8
     underlying expense sheets, that was not on there. The
 9
     only payment that was on there was 170,000.
10
               MR. ALIOTO: That's -- that's wrong again,
11
     Your Honor. The audit -- the expense audit had to do
     with out-of-pocket expenses for travel, subsistence, all
12
13
     of that. That audit didn't have anything to do with
14
     common assessments, those payments into the lit fund.
15
     Those were the kinds of expenses Mr. Goldberg was
     discussing earlier today that each of the firms
16
17
     incurred. That was a separate item of the expenses.
                                                            Do
18
     you see how these assertions get made? They have no
19
     bearing whatsoever to what actually happened.
20
               THE COURT: So where are your contributions to
21
     the common fund documented, just so I know where to look
22
     in your September --
23
               MR. ALIOTO: Yes.
               THE COURT: -- 2015 declaration?
24
               MR. ALIOTO: Yes.
25
                                                       Page 39
```

THE COURT: An exhibit thereto? 1 2 MR. ALIOTO: Yes, it would be on my fee 3 declaration, which would have the hours, the categories would be hours, and it would also have under oath as 4 5 part of my declaration the contributions for the money 6 to the litigation fund. 7 MR. SCARPULLA: Excuse me, that was the whole 8 point, Your Honor, because there -- when we asked for 9 this in front of Your Honor, we said it's in the 10 declaration, but there's no underlying evidence. And so Your Honor entered an order that required Trump Alioto 11 to supply the underlying evidence of those contributions 12 to the assessment fund. 13 The one that they produced and which is in the 14 record only shows 170,000. There's no showing that a 15 million dollars was paid into any fund at any time at 16 all. 17 18 Now, I'm not saying it wasn't paid. I don't 19 know whether it was or not, but Your Honor ordered that those accounting records from Trump be produced to show 20 21 that payment. They were never produced. 22 MR. ALIOTO: Mr. Scarpulla doesn't know, again, he doesn't know what the proceedings were. The 23 expense audit, as Your Honor will recall --24 THE COURT: That was a different -- that dealt 25 Page 40

1	with different kinds of expenses.
2	MR. ALIOTO: Those different kinds of
3	expenses, and that was something that we did voluntarily
4	after Judge Tigar ruled we took another look at the
5	expenses and we audited those and knocked them down.
6	THE COURT: Mr. Scarpulla is talking about
7	something different that he says I issued an order. I
8	have no memory whether I
9	MR. ALIOTO: Before we I beg your pardon.
10	THE COURT: did or not. But he says I
11	issued an order that told you to give me the
12	documentation fee or contributions to the common fund.
13	MR. ALIOTO: That's very simple. Let
14	Mr. Scarpulla produce that order to you.
15	MR. SCARPULLA: I think I have it, Your Honor,
16	so if you give I'll have to look.
17	THE COURT: There's probably a good shot
18	Ms. Capurro can clear this up. Can you?
19	MS. CAPURRO: I can read to you the part of
20	your order.
21	THE COURT: Which order are you talking about?
22	I issue a lot of orders.
23	MS. CAPURRO: Yes, it's the order on the
24	motion to compel time records, after Mr. Scarpulla and
25	Mr. Cooper moved for production of time records. I'll
	Page 41

have to get you the docket number in a second, because 1 2 I'm looking at the order that you submitted on -- from JAMS and it didn't have a docket number. I'll get it 3 for you in one second, but I'll read first what it says. 4 Lead counsel shall recommend to the special 5 master by December 2nd, 2015, a process for enabling 6 7 him to spot-check a representative sampling of expense 8 receipts and other back-up for claimed expenses and 9 shall keep available all back-up for claimed expenses 10 for the special master's inspection on request. 11 And after that, we then made a proposal to you on what, you know -- on how you would -- how you would 12 do this representative sampling, and that we would 13 14 conduct an audit of and collect expense receipts, conduct an audit and present the results of that to you, 15 which we did. 16 And we also gathered all the back-up for 17 18 claimed expenses and offered those to you at your 19 request, but you didn't request -- once you reviewed our audit report and the back-up materials we gave you, you 20 21 didn't request anything else. 22 THE COURT: I remember that, but that dealt with hotel bills, plane fare, that kind of thing. It 23 didn't deal with contributions to the common fund. 24 25 MR. SCARPULLA: Right, and that's what we Page 42

```
asked for, contributions to the common fund.
 1
               MS. CAPURRO: You asked for them, but
 2
     Mr. Quinn did not order them. He never --
 3
               MR. SCARPULLA: That was specifically -- I
 4
     don't think I ever asked to see the underlying expenses.
 5
 6
               THE COURT: All right.
 7
               MR. SCARPULLA: I asked to see the payments
 8
     into the fund, and when we were -- and when they were
9
     paid.
10
               MR. ALIOTO: He's asked for a lot of things,
11
     but what he's saying now is something different. He
     said there's an order. Let him produce the order, Your
12
13
     Honor.
14
               THE COURT: Okay. I will take care of this by
     going back to look at the order Ms. Capurro refers to,
15
     the requests that were made that generated that order.
16
     If I feel I need anything more, I'll ask for it.
17
18
               Okay. Anything further from anyone in the
19
     room?
               Last but not least, Ms. Kern.
20
21
               MR. KERN: Thank you. I will be brief. I
22
     just want to respond to some of the assertions being
     made that lead counsel didn't have substantive ruling in
23
     this case. And I believe I had a significant role or I
24
     want to just give some details about --
25
                                                       Page 43
```

MS. MOORE: Could that speaker please move closer to the telephone, it's hard to hear.

MR. KERN: Yes. So as I was saying, I believe
I had a substantive role in this case and I can attest
from my own knowledge to lead counsel's role and I just
wanted to give a few examples to highlight that.

Lead counsel was involved in all aspects of the case that I know of. I work closely with Mr. Alioto and Ms. Capurro on a lot of matters. I don't have to belabor the point that this was a demanding case that took a long time and we worked together sometimes every single day, on weekdays, on weekends, on evenings, all the time. I spoke routinely with both of them.

Some of the examples include the briefs. The briefs in this case were particularly important because when we were before Judge Conti, he did not permit or allow, I should say, oral argument. So the briefing was particularly important, and I discussed strategy with both Ms. Capurro and Mr. Alioto on some of these briefs, particularly, for example, important briefs. And by the way, also to some extent the class certification brief.

And Mr. Alioto routinely helped edit the drafts. He didn't just subcontract them as some people would imply. He worked on those. He and I would work through the briefs and decide there were significant

Page 44

issues that needed to be addressed. I also got a lot of 1 2 input from Ms. Capurro on evidence and on deposition outlines that I did and on picking exhibits. This was 3 all a team effort. This was not just people who were 4 5 off doing their own thing. And another example was on settlement 6 7 strategy. I was involved in settlement strategy, and we had numerous communications about how much to settle for 8 9 and what -- writing mediation briefs and so forth. So 10 to say that lead counsel didn't have a substantive role 11 is just simply not true. 12 THE COURT: Okay. So Mr. Alioto, you know, we ended up in this case with a situation where you had two 13 or three class counsel opposing the settlement, 14 objecting to fees and other matters. And as you've said 15 yourself, one of the major jobs of lead counsel is to 16 promote harmony and, you know, keep a united front. 17 And here we had a situation where it wasn't 18 just outside objectors objecting to the settlement, you 19 had, you know, in-house class counsel. 20 21 Do you think, looking back over the eight 22 years of this case, if you had to do it again, there was a way to have avoided that? 23 MR. ALIOTO: I was working --24 THE COURT: And related question, do you feel 25 Page 45

you made sufficient efforts to keep the peace, make the 1 2 peace, avoid problems? MR. ALIOTO: Yes. This case was run the right 3 way, it's evidenced in the result. We were dealing with 4 5 at one time 20 timekeepers at the Zelle firm. Mr. Scarpulla didn't take a large role in that. He was 6 7 doing other things. He was involved in LCD. 8 I don't know how they make their staffing 9 decisions over at Zelle, but I was dealing with 10 Mr. Micheletti, I was dealing with Mr. Corbitt, Ms. Zahid, Qianwei. I was dealing with a score of 11 lawyers over there. 12 I think the case was run properly. When it 13 came time for the trial you had Mr. Cooper who was not 14 15 involved in the case, and Mr. Scarpulla who was doing other things. Yes, I think I made the right decision. 16 We needed the trial teams and there probably would have 17 been work for these people to do. They probably could 18 19 have been folded in in some way, maybe it would have been better to fold them in, but I don't think you can 20 21 make the jump and say they should have been involved and 22 it -- it was detrimental to the case, no, absolutely 23 not. The case was -- was handled and staffed and 24 done properly, and if you look at these fee allocation 25 Page 46

proceedings and you compare them to the LCD case, I did 1 not want to have that type of a dynamic in this case. 2 And we didn't have it. 3 And it was -- I firmly believe that we did it 4 the right way and that was -- contributed to the good 5 result we got in an efficient manner, much less -- much 6 7 less time and expense than the LCD case. 8 THE COURT: That wasn't quite what I asked. 9 mean, looking back, do you think you could have done 10 anything more to promote harmony and avoid the disruption we've experienced in the settlement portion 11 of the case? 12 13 MR. ALIOTO: I was not aware of any disharmony. I was aware of the case moving forward, 14 15 assignments being made, and work getting done and I wasn't aware of any disharmony. That's part of the 16 problem that we're going to be discussing on Wednesday, 17 that these efforts were outside of the normal channels 18 19 and unbeknownst to me. THE COURT: Okay. With respect to Wednesday 20 21 and also with respect to today's hearing, which we're 22 just winding up, I have on my desk a motion to strike from Mr. Cooper. I have Mr. Alioto's opposition. 23 Mr. Scarpulla, do you happen to know if 24 Mr. Cooper intends to file a reply because I want to get 25 Page 47

that thing decided.
MR. SCARPULLA: I'm sorry, Your Honor, I don't
know, but I believe he's on the telephone.
THE COURT: Mr. Cooper, you're on the phone,
are you going to file a reply on that motion?
MR. COOPER: Yes, Your Honor, I believe based
on your order it is due on Friday.
THE COURT: Okay. I issue my orders and then
I forget them. So what's today?
MR. ALIOTO: I believe it's due Wednesday.
MR. KERN: The 5th.
MR. ALIOTO: Wednesday, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Yeah, well, you might take another
look at that order, Mr. Cooper, and get it in whenever
the order says to get it in, thank you.
MR. COOPER: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay. Hearing nothing further,
we'll close this hearing. Thank you, everybody, very
much.
(Whereupon, the proceedings were
adjourned at 4:27 p.m.)
000
Page 48

### CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER 1 2 3 I, KENNETH T. BRILL, a Certified Shorthand Reporter, hereby certify that the foregoing proceedings 4 5 were taken in shorthand by me, at the time and place therein stated, and that the said proceedings were 6 thereafter reduced to typewriting, by computer, under my 8 direction and supervision; I further certify that I am not of counsel or 9 attorney for either or any of the parties to the said 10 proceedings, nor in any way interested in the event of 11 12 this cause, and that I am not related to any of the 13 parties hereto. 14 15 16 17 18 10/17/16 DATED: 19 20 21 22 KENNETH T. BRILL CSR 12797 23 24 25 Page 49

# [& - agreements]

&	2015 10:17 23:4	48226 8:8	94123 2:9
	29:10,12 39:24	49 1:25	945-0200 2:20
& 2:5,16 4:4 5:13	42:6	<b>4:27</b> 1:13 48:21	94598 2:19
6:4 7:5 9:9,19,20	2016 1:12 9:1	5	a
19:24 20:14 30:20	212 5:8 8:9		
0	2125 2:18	500 38:22	absolutely 35:21
<b>07-5944</b> 1:5	215 5:17 7:19	505 6:8 550 6:16	46:22
1	22030 4:8	563-7200 2:10	accounting 40:20 accusations 34:25
1 1:25	221-5763 4:19	567-6565 5:17	35:12,14,15
1,500 29:20	<b>2280</b> 2:8	7:19	act 24:19 32:1,13
1,800 30:23	23rd 7:17	5th 48:11	action 13:9,12,14
10 14:21 19:24,25	244-7520 6:8	6	13:17 14:1
10/17/16 49:19	2455107 1:19		actions 13:18 14:2
100 16:21	2532 4:17	6,500 30:11	14:3,3,4,6,24
12 19:24,25	29 19:22	600 5:6	activities 12:14
120 2:18	2nd 7:7 42:6	619 6:18 631-8605 8:9	add 18:18 19:13
120,000 37:19	3	68 14:12	addressed 45:1
<b>12797</b> 1:17 49:22	3 1:12 9:1 31:20	693-0700 3:9	adjourn 27:8
13 13:14,16,17	30 14:22 23:13		adjourned 48:21
14:3	338-1133 6:18	7	adjustments 25:22
14 11:18 38:13	3400 5:15	700 6:6	27:13
39:3	<b>35</b> 23:23	703 4:9	administerial
15 38:13 39:4	<b>350</b> 23:12	<b>72,000</b> 23:10,10	31:10
150 17:9	<b>357</b> 7:7	<b>764-8700</b> 4:9	advice 35:20
1500 6:16	35th 3:17	777 8:7	affirmative 16:8
16 19:22 17 14:11	<b>371-6600</b> 5:8	<b>788-3030</b> 7:9	35:17 36:2
	<b>3:28</b> 1:13 9:2	8	afraid 10:25 13:5
170,000 39:9 40:15	4	<b>8,800</b> 29:17,18	afternoon 9:8,11
17th 3:7 21:16 1900 5:6	40 23:13	800 21:17	9:13,18,21,23
19107 7:18	400 23:12	800,000 29:25	ag 14:2 31:1
1917 1:6	4041 4:7	<b>87102</b> 6:7	agenda 17:13 33:20
2	4071 12:11	890 8:7	
	4071-1 10:19	<b>8916392</b> 23:4	aggregate 18:23 agree 32:24
<b>2,000</b> 29:15	415 2:10 3:9,19	9	agreed 28:15
<b>2,161</b> 21:20	4:19 7:9	92101 5:7 6:17	agreement 17:2
20 6:6 13:2,8 14:3	434-8900 3:19	925 2:20	24:18 28:13,13
14:6,24 15:1,4	44 5:15	94103 7:8	31:25 32:8,10,12
46:5 20.25 21:12	<b>450</b> 23:25	94104 3:8,18 5:16	33:1
20.25 21:12 2014 21:16	<b>456</b> 3:7	94121 4:18	agreements 32:6,6
2014 21.10			32:9

# [ags - blocked]

ags 24:9 35:20		16.5 AA.7	
1 11 16 17	allow 44:17	aspects 16:5 44:7	b
	amount 16:2	assertions 36:1	b 1:19 3:6 5:6
27:19 28:10	38:25	39:18 43:22	back 10:17 26:5
	amounts 18:4,5	assessment 40:13	29:9 34:13 35:17
al 31:18	37:2,10	assessments 30:2	36:2,14 42:8,9,17
	analyzing 14:20	39:14	42:20 43:15 45:21
1	answer 27:9	assign 28:17	47:9
-	answers 26:25	assignment 20:18	ball 25:14
	antitrust 1:4 20:13	assignments 35:24	bank 37:23 38:14
	anymore 23:16	47:15	based 48:6
20:9,22 21:17,23	anyway 24:1	association 22:20	battin 4:6 9:18,19
21:25 22:5 24:5	27:25	athletic 22:20	bearing 39:19
	apart 37:2	attached 34:11	bears 10:16,18
25:17,23 26:20	apparently 28:15	attention 10:14	36:5
27:7,17 28:16	appeal 25:10	26:19	beg 41:9
29:14 30:1,11,22	appeals 11:18	attest 44:4	belabor 44:10
31:4,25 32:4,16	applied 25:2	attorney 13:21,25	believe 24:12 25:3
33:17,18 34:14	approval 10:22	21:20 32:22 49:10	29:21 30:24 43:24
37:4,7,11,20,24	11:16 12:20 16:21	attorneys 10:16	44:3 47:4 48:3,6
38:23 39:2,7,7,10	approved 16:25	13:19,23 24:2	48:10
39:23,25 40:2,11	27:13	audit 24:10 25:18	believes 19:18
40:22 41:2,9,13	approximately	25:19 26:4,8,24,24	best 11:6 12:3,8,9
43:10 44:8,19,22	29:25	27:4,22 28:8	better 46:20
45:12,24 46:3	argue 22:5,6,7	39:11,11,13 40:24	beyond 19:15
47:13 48:10,12	34:3	42:14,15,20	23:16 26:15
alioto's 10:7 21:10	argued 21:2 22:4	audited 41:5	bgralewski 5:9
28:24 32:25 47:23	22:12 34:5	authority 28:18	big 38:4
aliotolaw.com	arguing 22:3	authorization 35:3	
	argument 19:1	available 42:9	bigger 37:22
allegation 32:23	44:17	avenue 8:7	billed 21:12 bills 42:23
_	arguments 13:21	avoid 46:2 47:10	
_	arithmetic 26:17	avoided 45:23	birkhaeuser 2:16
1	arrange 37:16	award 18:23,24	2:17 9:8
	arrive 16:9,11,15	awarded 22:22	birkhauser 9:9,9
	arrived 16:10,14	awards 19:4	bit 36:23
	arriving 14:21,22	aware 32:7 47:13	bizarre 32:13
_	asked 24:6 30:10	47:14,16	black 7:15 9:16
24:12 29:2,8	32:5 40:8 43:1,2,5	awareness 15:8	blame 11:4
31:16 34:12 36:22	43:7,10 47:8		blanket 35:25
1	aspect 16:3		blew 10:24
55.27 15.25	mapeter 10.5		blocked 21:12

# [boards - conclude]

boards 20:16,19	capurro 2:7 12:18	certified 49:3	client 32:22
boeis 9:19,20	21:24.24 26:18	certify 49:4,9	clients 29:3
boies 4:4	41:18,19,23 43:2	chain 13:16 36:15	close 29:24 48:18
boies.com 4:10	43:15 44:9,19	36:18	closely 44:8
bois 19:24 20:15	45:2	chair 33:24	closer 44:2
30:20	capurro's 21:11	challenged 17:8	cmicheletti 5:18
bore 12:22	care 43:14	changed 24:16	cohen 8:13 9:10,10
bottom 33:12	case 10:20,23 11:1	changes 26:9	colead 24:19 28:15
boyd 6:4	11:9,11,14,16 12:6	_	32:1,14,14
bramson 2:16 9:9	12:8,19,21,23 13:2	characterization	collect 42:14
bramsonplutzik	13:2 14:6 15:1	32:25	collegiate 22:19
2:21	16:6,15,24,25	characterize 31:8	come 11:2 14:20
brief 10:12 13:7	17:16 19:9.21	characterized	18:24,25 36:1
43:21 44:21	20:3,10,12 22:5,8	12:6	comes 36:14
briefing 11:20	22:21 23:9 24:5	charge 11:13	coming 35:9
44:17	24:11 28:16 31:6	23:11 29:3	commend 18:2
briefs 15:16 44:14	31:11 33:5 34:10	charged 29:1 31:7	19:5
44:15,19,20,25	34:11,12,14 35:17	charging 21:17	comment 19:17
45:9	35:25 36:3,4,5,6	check 42:7	comment 19:17
	36:21 37:22 38:2	check 42:7 chris 21:6 28:5	34:20
brill 1:16 49:3,22		30:14	
bring 19:20	38:3,7,19 39:1,6		commerce 15:23 committee 25:20
bringing 12:6,8 broad 7:17	43:24 44:4,8,10,15 45:13,22 46:3,13		committee 23:20 common 14:18
		chunghwa 11:22 cihlar 4:5 9:19	
broke 39:2	46:15,22,24 47:1,2		37:2 39:14,21 41:12 42:24 43:1
brought 34:13 bucks 37:17	47:7,12,14	circuit 11:18 25:11	communications
	cases 12:2 13:2,4,8 13:14 14:18 15:1	circulated 27:23	45:8
bumped 18:13			
bunch 31:7	15:9 28:25	cite 22:24 23:1	compare 34:10
business 37:15	cash 37:11	claimed 42:8,9,18	35:12 36:12 47:1
c	categories 40:3 cathode 1:4	claiming 24:8 claims 13:10 20:9	compel 41:24
c 2:1 3:1 4:1 5:1			compensated
6:1,16 7:1 8:1	cause 49:12	34:6	24:24
ca 2:9,19 3:8,18	causing 35:7	clare 2:7	compilations 26:16
4:18 5:7,16 6:17	centers 20:1	class 13:9,12 14:3 21:17 22:3 29:1	
7:8	certainly 12:1		complete 12:12
calculations 26:17	16:11 25:4 27:8,9	30:13 33:23 44:21	completely 35:11
california 1:2,11	27:17 30:20 32:9	45:14,20	35:21
9:1 13:21	certificate 49:1	clayton 3:6	complex 15:8
call 10:14	certification 33:23	clear 11:9 19:18	computer 49:7
called 24:6 32:14	44:21	37:1 41:18	conclude 15:17
	l	l	

# [conduct - docket]

conduct 42:14,15	31:5 32:2,14,15	csr 1:17 49:22	deposition 45:2
contact 35:21	34:16 36:3,7,7,8	curious 27:15	depositions 15:3
conti 34:5 44:16	42:5 43:23 44:7	ev 1:5	21:2 22:2
continue 12:10	45:10,14,16,20	d	described 12:17
continued 3:1 4:1	49:9		describing 12:14
5:1 6:1 7:1 8:1	counsel's 44:5	d 3:15,167:6	designated 14:11
34:3	counsels 34:17	damage 14:10 15:22	desire 25:14
contract 12:18	count 14:12 16:22	damages 14:8	desk 47:22
contribute 35:1	couple 20:8 32:8	damages 14.0	detail 12:13
contributed 35:1	course 34:23 35:3	daniel 2:17	details 43:25
37:1 47:5	court 1:1 9:4,15	datie 2.17 data 14:20	detrimental 46:22
contribution	9:17,25 10:5,17	dated 49:19	detroit 8:8
38:25	11:3 15:5,7,14,18	day 12:19 44:12	developments
contributions	17:19 18:14 19:12	dbirkhaeuser 2:21	11:8
39:20 40:5,12	19:15 20:5,21,25	deal 24:4 35:20	dever 7:16 9:13,14
41:12 42:24 43:1	22:24 23:3,5	42:24	9:16
conversation	25:12,19 26:11,12	dealing 46:4,9,10	devoted 34:10
26:14	26:12 27:2,11,12	46:11	diego 5:7 6:17
convey 13:5	27:19 28:1,3,7,10	deals 35:13	diem 29:3
cooper 7:5,6 10:4	28:20 29:4,9 31:3	dealt 13:19,23	different 11:23
10:4 41:25 46:14	31:11,13,18,21,24	24:3 40:25 42:22	25:21 31:2 34:17
47:23,25 48:4,6,14	32:12,21 33:2,6,11	december 38:13	34:17 40:25 41:1
48:16	33:16 34:13 36:25	42:6	41:2,7 43:11
cooperative 17:22	37:6,9,14,21 38:21	decide 44:25	difficult 12:24
coopkirk.com	38:24 39:5,20,24	decided 48:1	difficulty 12:23
7:10	40:1,25 41:6,10,17	decision 22:19	dig 35:2
сору 27:22 28:7	41:21 42:22 43:6	46:16	direct 13:12,14,17
corbitt 21:7 28:5	43:14 45:12,25	decisions 46:9	14:3
29:21 30:7,17	47:8,20 48:4,8,13	declaration 10:14	direction 49:8
32:18 33:2,5,9,12	48:17	10:15,24 11:5	discussed 44:18
46:10	craig 21:7 28:5	12:11,13,17 15:2	discussing 39:16
core 19:23	30:17	16:1 29:15 35:4	47:17
corporate 13:15	create 27:14	39:24 40:3,5,10	discussions 30:23
correct 24:22	creek 2:19	declarations 29:10	33:4 38:11
correctly 31:4	criteria 25:24	29:12	disharmony 47:14
correspondence	criticisms 15:10	demand 39:3	47:16
26:22	15:12	demanding 44:10	disputing 20:1
counsel 12:2 18:11	criticized 12:5	dennis 6:15,19	disruption 47:11
18:17 19:9,18	17:8	9:11	district 1:1,2 11:2
20:2 21:19 24:19	crt 1:4	deny 33:21 36:1	docket 10:19
24:23,25 25:20			12:11 42:1,3
	l	l	

# [document - firmly]

document 23:21	efficiently 34:15	exception 36:19	fbdlaw.com 6:9
23:25 24:13 25:6	effort 16:23 17:11	exceptional 20:2	fee 16:20 19:22,25
35:11	45:4	excessive 23:7	22:22 27:13 32:5
documentation	efforts 17:16,16	excuse 40:7	32:6 35:13 37:12
41:12	46:1 47:18	exhaustive 23:6	40:2 41:12 46:25
documented 39:21	eight 11:7 12:1	exhibit 31:20 40:1	feedback 16:16
documents 23:10	45:21	exhibits 45:3	feel 18:1,21 43:17
23:14,18,21 27:16	either 12:18 49:10	expense 26:24	45:25
29:18 32:19 33:3	elizabeth 8:5	37:2 39:8,11	fees 10:16 11:14
doing 11:24,25,25	elusive 28:8	40:24 42:7,14	22:21 23:7 24:12
12:15,17 14:14,19	emckenna 8:10	47:7	24:21 27:4 45:15
17:16 19:5 23:15	enabling 42:6	expenses 25:18	felt 18:25
27:4 36:8 38:16	ended 45:13	39:12,15,17 41:1,3	fern 34:4
45:5 46:7,15	enforceable 25:2	41:5 42:8,9,18	fifth 4:7
dollar 18:6 38:25	entered 40:11	43:5	fighting 31:1
dollars 37:4 40:16	enthusiasm 38:2	experienced 47:11	file 1:4 27:2,5
doubt 12:12	entire 22:20	expert 14:7,10,12	47:25 48:5
dozen 25:25	entitled 33:12	14:12,13,15 21:6	filed 10:15 15:2
drabs 38:18	equitable 17:17	29:20,22,23,24	17:21 22:7
drafts 44:23	especially 14:8,10	expert's 14:14	files 21:18,19
draw 10:13	36:6	experts 14:11,16	filings 11:15
dream 16:20	esquire 2:6,7,17	14:19,21,22	finally 30:6,6
dribs 38:18	3:5,6,16 4:5,6,16	explained 17:1	finance 38:14
drive 4:7	5:5,14 6:5,15 7:6	extent 44:21	find 27:8
due 48:7,10	7:16 8:5	extra 12:15	fine 7:15 9:16
dynamic 47:2	essentially 24:19	f	finekaplan.com
e	evenings 44:12	fact 14:1 16:1 20:2	7:20
e 2:1,1,17 3:1,1 4:1	event 49:11	24:21 28:16 32:2	finish 10:20 11:11
4:1 5:1,1 6:1,1 7:1	everybody 10:1	36:14	finished 10:21
7:1 8:1,1 25:7	48:18	factions 34:17	firm 9:15 17:7,8,9
28:4,14	everybody's 22:23	36:8	17:20,20,21 20:9
earlier 17:1 39:16	evidence 40:10,12	facts 19:1	20:17 24:23 26:15
early 28:14 32:5	45:2	factual 36:25	26:15,22,22 27:2
37:3,10 38:13	evidenced 46:4	fair 15:24,25	28:24 29:17,18
39:4	exactly 39:2	16:16,17 29:11	30:15 31:4,25
easier 22:25	example 14:5,25	fairfax 4:8	32:1,13 33:6,13
easy 14:24	21:15,16 44:20	fairly 10:10 26:23	34:9 36:20 37:1
edit 44:22	45:6	fairness 36:23	37:17 38:9 46:5
efficient 34:18	examples 44:6,14	far 16:8 38:6	firm's 36:21
47:6	excellent 12:25	fare 42:23	firmly 30:23 47:4

# [firms - honor]

firms 16:15,17	full 11:1,16	27:11 28:20 30:16	harmony 45:17
17:6 18:4,7,22	fund 22:22 35:1,2	33:8 38:16 43:15	47:10
19:23 20:10,15,18	37:2,2 38:9 39:14	47:17 48:5	harper 6:14
20:20 21:5,9	39:21 40:6,13,16	goldberg 6:4,5	hear 12:22 13:20
25:21,25 26:1,2,4	41:12 42:24 43:1	9:21,22 29:4,6	15:11 44:2
36:4,13,18 37:21	43:8	39:15	heard 22:9,10
39:16	further 11:19,20	good 9:8,11,13,18	hearing 1:10 10:2
first 6:6 17:18	11:21 33:16 34:7	9:21,23 10:1	10:6 19:16 31:15
35:6 42:4	43:18 48:17 49:9	15:21,22 18:15	35:16 47:21 48:17
five 13:18 14:2	g	38:7 41:17 47:5	48:18
39:1		grab 27:5	hearings 35:10
floor 3:7,17 4:7	gap 36:14	gralewski 5:5	heavily 14:7
7:7,17	gathered 42:17	18:17 19:15	heavy 30:18
florida 14:1	gdever 7:20	gralewski's 17:8	height 13:18
focus 15:9,12	general 13:19,21	gralweski 19:17	help 30:18
focused 31:14	13:23,25 15:8	great 9:7 23:5	helped 44:22
fold 46:20	24:2	gross 22:22	helpful 27:12
folded 46:19	generated 43:16	ground 18:3	hereto 49:13
folks 20:22 24:11	gerard 7:16	group 4:15 17:22	hide 25:14
following 24:21	gerry 9:13	17:25 18:17 29:16	higher 18:1 19:19
foregoing 49:4	getting 9:4 12:3	36:20 37:25 38:1	highest 20:20
forever 30:5	18:5,6,12 38:6	grove 2:18	37:18
forget 48:9	47:15	mess 10.7	highlight 10:13
form 27:15	give 9:6 10:20 13:7		44:6
formal 26:4,24,24	14:5 16:1 21:16	h	highly 17:15
forth 11:8 26:5	23:17 26:17 27:3	half 13:22 25:25	hire 22:17
45:9	41:11,16 43:25	hand 27:6 33:2	history 18:24
	44:6	handle 24:7,8	
forward 47:14	given 25:13	handled 15:16	hoagland 17:20
fos 3:10	gives 23:17	34:14 46:24	hofmann 5:13
four 14:11 28:14	glancy 17:20	handling 11:14	hollander 6:4
fragmented 26:23	glowingly 18:20	26:22	honor 9:8,18 10:9
27:6	go 19:12 21:22	hands 16:7	15:12 17:5 18:19
francis 3:4,5	22:13 27:19 28:10	handwritten	19:11,17 20:6,7
francisco 1:11 2:9	29:9 34:7 35:2,17	26:13	22:1,5,17 23:9
3:8,18 4:18 5:16	goal 16:21	happen 30:8 47:24	24:10,15 25:17
7:8 9:1	goes 11:24 22:20	happened 25:24	27:20,21 28:25
freedman 6:4	25:10	39:19	31:17,23 32:4,7,17
friday 13:25 48:7	going 11:14,16,19	happening 34:23	33:23 38:17 39:7
fringe 36:20	11:20,20,21 12:13	happy 18:8,19	39:11 40:8,9,11,19
front 22:4,12 25:9	12:22 14:16 15:11	hard 12:23,25	40:24 41:15 43:13
34:3,5 40:9 45:17	16:13 23:11 25:2	44:2	48:2,6,12
	10.15 25.11 25.2	11.2	

# [honor's - line]

honor's 34:12	interest 30:4	judgment 22:6,8	knowledge 44:5
hope 32:10	interested 26:13	judgments 25:22	knows 24:8
horn 10:24	49:11	judith 30:14	1
hot 33:3	interlineations	jump 46:21	lake 4:17
hotel 42:23	26:21	jury 38:16	
hour 21:17 23:12	invested 38:3	k	languages 23:19
23:25	involved 11:1,10	k 4:16	large 39:3 46:6
hourly 28:25 29:2	11:12 13:14,18	kag 4:15	larger 36:10 late 39:3
hours 21:12,20	14:8,9 15:22,23	kaplan 7:15 9:16	lauren 2:7
23:10,11 29:15,17	16:3,6 20:9,10,22	keep 30:6 42:9	laurenrussell 2:12
29:19,20 30:11,23	30:19 44:7 45:7	45:17 46:1	law 3:4,15 4:15
31:7 35:10 40:3,4	46:7,15,21	keller 22:19	16:2 19:2
house 45:20	involvement 10:23	kennedy 8:6	lawyer 23:25
hulett 6:14	11:10 12:12	kenneth 1:16 49:3	lawyers 20:13
hulettharper.com	involving 13:10	49:22	29:16,18 38:1
6:19	issue 41:22 48:8	kept 30:1	46:12
i	issued 41:7,11	kern 4:16 43:20,21	
idea 16:1 23:15	issues 33:19 34:16	44:3 48:11	35:12,25 36:5,11
illinois 13:23 24:2	45:1	key 22:2	36:12 46:7 47:1,7
imply 44:24	item 39:17	kid 20:11	lcds 24:3,4,6
importance 29:8	j	kind 18:2 26:3	lead 12:2 18:11,16
important 14:19	i 5:5	35:16 42:23	19:9,18 20:2
23:22 25:1 31:5	jams 1:10 42:3	kinds 34:6 35:14	21:18 24:23,24
44:15,18,20	janet 29:23	39:15 41:1,2	31:4 34:16,17
impression 13:5	january 21:16	kirby 5:4 19:18,24	36:3,7,7,8 42:5
include 44:14	japanese 23:25	20:14 30:19	43:23 44:5,7
incurred 39:17	jdc 7:10	kirkham 7:5	45:10,16
independent 28:13	jg 6:9	kmllp.com 5:9	leading 11:12,25
indication 11:6	jmalioto 2:11	knew 30:8	leads 36:13
indications 35:19	job 1:19 18:15	knocked 41:5	leave 29:10
indirect 2:3 13:9	19:8 20:2	know 11:22 15:8	led 16:6
13:17	jobs 12:3 45:16	18:23 19:18 22:14	legg 33:25 34:1
information 36:23	joe 9:22	23:9,17 25:13,14	letters 38:17
informed 34:7,8	joined 10:2	26:17 27:3,7,12,23	level 14:18
initially 24:15	josef 7:6 10:4	28:12 29:7,23	life 22:25
input 45:2	joseph 6:5	30:7,12,16,19 31:8	lifting 30:18
inquire 28:20	jr 5:5	37:18 39:2,21	light 10:11
insight 26:18	judge 13:6 22:12	40:19,22,23 42:12	limine 22:11
inspection 42:10	22:18 25:9 29:7	44:8 45:12,17,20	limited 15:7,8
intends 47:25	33:25 34:1,3,5	46:8 47:24 48:3	line 18:12
	38:5 41:4 44:16		

### [lit - numerous]

lit 39:14	mason 5:13	milberg 8:4	name 32:2
litigation 1:4	mass 11:15	milberg.com 8:10	names 9:5,6
13:11,13 40:6	master 1:4,10	millberg 9:24	narrower 36:4
little 11:23 37:15	10:14,20 34:1	million 23:13 37:4	nate 9:19
liz 9:23	35:18 42:6	37:7,7,17 38:25	nathan 4:5
llp 4:4 5:4,13 6:14	master's 42:10	40:16	national 22:19
8:4 9:24	materials 42:20	mind 15:15 33:9	nature 26:21,23
lodestar 26:10,10	matter 10:11 14:1	minutes 26:16	near 23:12
long 44:11	28:17	monday 9:1	necessarily 18:8
look 15:25 19:23	matters 44:9	money 30:4 36:15	need 14:22 27:14
21:15 22:15,18	45:15	36:18 37:12,23,25	29:11 35:5 43:17
29:9.11 34:9	mecallum 17:21	38:14,16 39:3	needed 16:12
39:21 41:4,16	mccullum 17:19	40:5	38:11,12,13 45:1
43:15 46:25 48:14	mcinerney 5:4	montgomery 3:7	46:17
looked 21:9,11	20:14 30:19	5:15	needs 22:17
25:15,21 30:16	mckenna 8:5 9:23	month 39:1	neglected 16:4
looking 10:23	9:24	moore 3:15,16	negotiation 26:5
14:13 23:13 26:13	mdl 1:6	10:3,3 44:1	neighborhood
42:2 45:21 47:9	mean 13:8 14:25	morning 17:1	37:8
looks 22:23	23:15 34:21 37:14	motion 10:16	ness 29:23
lot 15:21,23,23	37:15,16 47:9	11:19 22:3 30:13	never 35:21 40:21
18:21 26:13 35:6	means 13:5 14:5,6	41:24 47:22 48:5	43:3
35:7 41:22 43:10	mediation 34:4	motions 21:3 22:6	new 9:22
44:9 45:1	45:9	22:9,11 30:12	nine 16:10
luxury 14:13	meetings 21:20,20	34:2,3	ninth 11:18 25:10
m	26:16 29:16	move 44:1	nm 6:7
mahler 2:16 9:9	memories 34:13	moved 16:19,19	normal 15:1 29:2
mail 28:4	memory 41:8	17:3,3,4 19:3	47:18
mails 25:7 28:14	mentioning 36:6	31:11 41:25	northern 1:2
main 10:15	merit 15:3	moving 47:14	note 35:14
major 11:8 14:3	method 22:20	multi 13:10	notes 26:14
45:16	methodology 17:1	multiple 23:12,19	number 10:19
making 35:9,12	mexico 9:22	24:24 25:5	16:10,18,19 18:7
management	mi 8:8	multiples 20:20	24:25 25:1 28:24
19:20 20:23	micheletti 5:14	multiplier 16:10	31:6 42:1,3
manner 47:6	19:13,14 21:7	16:19 19:19,21	numbers 16:16,17
mario 2:6 21:24	22:3 27:22 28:6,7	n	20:3
28:5	28:9 29:21 30:14	n 2:1 3:1 4:1 5:1	numerous 45:8
marlo 8:13 9:10	32:19,21,24 33:4,7	6:1 7:1 8:1	
martin 1:10	46:10	n.w. 6:6	
	l .	l	l

# [o - probably]

0	order 16:19 36:15	papers 20:8 27:3	philadelphia 7:18
o 3:4,5	40:11 41:7,11,14	33:22 34:12 35:15	phone 48:4
oak 2:18	41:20,21,23 42:2	pardon 41:9	pick 23:21
oath 40:4	43:3,12,12,15,16	part 13:20 15:16	picking 45:3
	48:7,14,15	15:17 16:18,23	piece 13:11,12
objected 36:21	ordered 39:7	17:11,22 24:14	pieces 22:7
objecting 45:15,19	40:19	25:7 27:24 29:2	place 49:5
objection 18:11	orders 15:21	37:14 40:5 41:19	plaintiffs 2:3
20:1 31:13 33:14	41:22 48:8	47:16	13:15,17
objections 17:21 31:14	oregon 13:24,25	particularly 44:15	plane 42:23
	24:3	44:18,20	plaza 6:6
objective 18:5,6	organization	parties 49:10,13	pleading 21:4
objector 18:17	23:24	patane 12:18	pleadings 21:4
objectors 16:22	organize 21:18,19	21:23,23	30:12
17:10 18:10 36:20	original 10:16	patrick 3:6	please 9:5 10:9,10
45:19	28:13 29:14	pausing 25:13	44:1
observations 20:8	ought 20:20 25:7	paying 23:24	plutzik 2:16 9:9
obviously 33:20	outlines 45:3	payment 39:9	pocket 37:11,12
october 1:12 9:1	outside 45:19	40:21	37:13 39:12
offered 42:18	47:18	payments 38:8,9	point 17:23 25:13
office 11:24 12:17	overcharge 14:17	39:14 43:7	28:11 29:11 31:3
offices 3:4,15	14:17	pbc 3:11	33:21 36:2,2,25
oh 28:3	overcharges 14:21	peace 46:1,2	40:8 44:10
okay 9:4,17,25	14:22	people 9:5 12:3,6	points 28:21
19:12 20:5 26:12	oversaw 16:6	12:8,19 13:16,20	popped 24:5
27:17,19 28:22	owed 29:24 30:4	14:23 15:10 16:24	portion 30:24
31:18 34:14 39:5		17:3,3,24,25 18:16	47:11
43:14,18 45:12	p	18:24 19:5 20:12	possible 12:8,9
47:20 48:8,17	p 2:1,1 3:1,1 4:1,1	20:15 22:1 23:15	practice 11:19
old 23:24	5:1,1 6:1,1 7:1,1	27:23 28:17 30:14	preliminary 10:22
once 42:19	8:1,1	35:7 37:20 38:1	11:15 12:20
ones 23:21	<b>p.a.</b> 6:4	44:23 45:4 46:18	preparing 14:14
000 48:22	<b>p.m.</b> 1:13,13 9:2	percent 14:21,22	prescott 2:5
oops 10:2	48:21	16:21 19:22,22,24	present 8:13 34:4
opening 10:8	pa 7:18	19:25	42:15
19:10	page 14:23		
opining 14:17	pages 1:25	percentage 22:22	pretty 10:12 17:15
opinion 23:6	paid 23:13 30:2,3	perfectly 11:9 permit 44:16	primarily 29:16
opposing 45:14	30:5,9 40:16,18		probably 36:22 37:22 41:17 46:17
opposition 47:23	43:9	petition 37:12	
oral 44:17	paper 22:7 26:14	ph 29:23	46:18
L	l	l .	l

# [problem - s]

problem 30:8	31:24 32:11 45:25	records 25:21,25	result 10:25 12:9
47:17	questions 16:2,2	26:2 29:14 40:20	12:25 15:21,22
problems 27:15	31:23 38:5,6,7	41:24,25	17:17 18:9 36:19
46:2	quickly 38:12	reduced 49:7	46:4 47:6
proceed 15:13	quinn 1:10 9:21	reductions 26:10	results 19:21
proceeding 14:4	43:3	refers 43:15	42:15
24:20	quite 38:3 47:8	reflect 35:18	retailers 13:15
proceedings 13:20		reflects 19:7.8	revealing 32:22
32:5 34:24 35:8	r	regular 23:23	review 25:25
40:23 47:1 48:20	r 2:1 3:1 4:1 5:1	related 45:25	35:11
49:4,6,11	6:1 7:1 8:1	49:12	reviewed 26:2
process 16:18 17:6	r.p.c. 7:15	relating 27:4	42:19
42:6	raises 34:21	relevant 24:20	reviewing 23:10
produce 39:7	raising 35:6 37:24	remember 22:9	29:17,20
41:14 43:12	range 37:19	38:8 42:22	revised 31:16
produced 40:14	rate 29:1	reply 47:25 48:5	rewarded 21:25
40:20,21	rates 29:2	report 24:11 25:15	22:1
producing 21:4	ray 1:4	25:18,23 26:4,8,8	right 9:25 10:5
production 41:25	reach 19:3	27:22 28:8 42:20	12:16 15:18 22:4
	read 41:19 42:4		
promote 45:17 47:10	real 18:16	reported 1:16	28:10,22 31:12,19 31:21 32:16 33:11
	really 13:3 15:24	reporter 49:1,4	
prongay 17:20 properly 46:13,25	18:18 19:25 31:5	reports 14:7,8,11 14:12	33:15,16,24 34:1,2 36:16 37:24 42:25
	31:8 34:22 35:8		
proposal 42:11	35:13,15 36:8	represent 36:16	43:6 46:3,16 47:5 risk 16:3 37:17
propose 31:16 pull 16:11	reason 32:13	representative	road 2:18
-	reasonable 35:11	42:7,13	robert 5:5
pulling 34:21	reasons 24:21	request 24:13 27:3	
purchaser 2:3	recall 32:4 33:23	42:10,19,19,21	role 43:24 44:4,5
13:9,12	40:24	requests 43:16	45:10 46:6
purchasing 13:16	receipts 42:8,14	required 40:11	rolled 16:7
pushing 16:25	receivable 38:19	resellers 13:15	room 22:4 38:15
17:6,13,14	recollection 30:13	respect 47:20,21	43:19
put 16:22 18:5	recommend 42:5	respectfully 19:8	rooms 38:15
23:16 25:20 33:13	recommending	22:16 24:13	routinely 44:13,22
34:25 35:3 37:17	18:20	respond 43:22	ruled 41:4
38:2,18,20,25	record 10:10,11	responds 32:11	ruling 43:23
putting 17:10	12:16 24:14 25:8	response 33:14	run 46:3,13
q	25:10,11,18 27:25	responsibility	S
qianwei 46:11	28:19 32:25 34:11	20:19	s 2:1 3:1 4:1 5:1
question 24:17	35:18 37:5 40:15	responsible 21:8	6:1 7:1,17 8:1
27:1,9 30:10			
	l .		

# [sales - suggested]

sales 15:23	sense 13:7	sir 10:18	stand 30:3,9
sampling 42:7,13	sentiment 37:25	sit 12:5	start 9:4 10:1,5,20
san 1:11 2:9 3:8,18		sitting 21:7 33:24	10:21 11:11
4:18 5:7,16 6:17	17:12,12 39:17	33:24 34:1,2	state 13:18,23,25
7:8 9:1	separated 21:14	situation 45:13,18	14:1
sansome 3:17	september 39:22	six 26:1 39:1	stated 49:6
saying 20:21 40:18	series 25:7	skill 19:20	statement 12:15
43:11 44:3	serious 38:5.6.7	sleeves 16:7	statements 35:6,9
says 41:7,10 42:4	sessions 34:4	sloughing 12:7	states 1:1 13:10
48:15	set 25:24	slowly 9:6	stewart 6:14,15
sc 1:5	sets 11:8	smith 34:4	9:11,12
scarpulla 3:4,5	setting 15:3	software 23:17	stick 34:22
20:5,6,24 21:1	settle 45:8	somebody 22:16	strategy 44:18
23:1,4,6 26:6	settlement 11:22	22:18 23:20 30:10	45:7,7
27:19,20 28:2,4,11	17:7,14 30:23,25	34:25	straus 4:4,10 9:19
28:12,22 29:6,13	31:1 45:6,7,14,19	sorry 23:2 25:17	9:20 19:24 20:14
31:10,12,17,20,22	47:11	30:12 31:14 48:2	30:20
32:17 33:8,15,19	settlements 11:13	speak 33:13	street 2:8 3:7,17
33:19 34:5,20	11:21 37:3,10	speaker 44:1	4:17 5:6,15 6:16
35:5,19,20,23 36:1	38:4	speaking 29:22	7:7,17
39:5,6 40:7,22	seven 26:1	speaks 17:15	strike 47:22
41:6,14,15,24	sharing 32:5,6	special 1:10 10:19	strong 19:1
42:25 43:4,7 46:6	35:13	33:25 35:18 42:5	stuff 21:6
46:15 47:24 48:2	sheets 21:10,11,22	42:10	subcontract 44:23
scarpulla's 32:23	22:15,23 39:8	specific 12:3 20:18	subcontracting
scarpullalaw.com	shorthand 49:3,5	21:13 23:19	12:7
3:10,11	shot 41:17	specifically 43:4	submit 19:8
scope 11:1	show 12:11 33:9	speed 11:16	submitted 26:11
score 46:11	40:20	spent 14:8 23:10	26:25 32:6,9 42:2
scraps 26:13	showing 40:15	34:18 35:11	subsistence 39:12
search 23:18	shows 40:15	split 26:1	substantial 10:10
second 42:1,4	side 16:22 17:10	spoke 44:13	10:11 13:12,15
secondly 16:9	signature 49:21	spot 42:7	30:24
secret 35:13	significant 13:11	spread 36:3,5,11	substantiate 35:5
see 18:14 21:23	21:2,3 31:8 43:24	36:11,12,12	substantive 20:12
27:24 34:22 36:11	44:25	sprung 35:16	20:13 22:2 31:6
39:18 43:5,7	simple 41:13	square 8:6	43:23 44:4 45:10
seeing 14:14	simply 45:11	staffed 46:24	sufficient 46:1
selling 13:16	single 11:10 16:5	staffing 46:8	suggest 22:16
send 30:2 38:17	44:12	stage 35:8	suggested 24:15
	L	L	

# [suggestion - voluntarily]

suggestion 15:5,20	48:3	three 20:19 28:14	40:20
suite 2:18 5:6,15	tell 14:9 21:10,13	29:18 45:14	try 13:7 17:1,17
6:6,16 8:7	24:14 30:6	throwing 34:22	trying 17:24 19:6
summarizing	telling 12:16 17:3	tigar 13:6 25:9	tube 1:4
10:22	17:5 30:1 36:23	41:4	tubes 13:16
summary 10:20	tells 28:5	tim 9:19	turn 33:10
22:6,8	terms 26:8	time 10:22 12:20	turned 32:19
superior 19:20	terrell 17:20	12:22 14:2,8 15:7	two 14:2 16:10
supervision 49:8	testify 30:9	16:2 21:10,11,22	25:1 28:14 31:6
supply 40:12	thank 19:11 36:24	22:15,23 23:7	34:24 36:7 37:7
support 10:15	43:21 48:15,18	25:4,4,15,21,25	45:13
11:13 36:10	thanks 9:17	26:2 29:14 33:5	type 47:2
sure 15:9	theresa 3:15,16	34:9,18 37:12	typewriting 49:7
sworn 28:24 35:4	10:3	38:10,17 40:16	u
sylvie 4:16	thereto 40:1	41:24,25 44:11,13	unbeknownst
sylviekern 4:20	thin 34:21	46:5,14 47:7 49:5	47:19
system 23:17	thing 11:10 14:20	timekeepers 46:5	underlying 19:9
t	16:5 28:23 29:13	timothy 4:6	39:8 40:10,12
t 1:16 5:14 49:3,22	30:22 35:2 36:16	tmoore 3:20	43:5
table 19:12	42:23 45:5 48:1	today 27:9 35:16	understand 25:7
take 43:14 46:6	things 10:13 12:7	39:16 48:9	27:21
48:13	12:7 17:14 23:16	today's 47:21	understanding
taken 19:3 49:5	23:23 27:11 31:10	told 24:7 32:18	14:15
talk 15:3 25:9	34:21,22 38:11	41:11	understands 13:4
35:23	43:10 46:7,16	top 14:18 36:15	unfortunately
talking 16:23	think 10:11,24	total 23:11 38:25	10:21
20:17,18 23:13	11:5 13:3 15:12	track 11:23 17:12	union 2:8
26:7 35:19 41:6	15:24,25 17:2,5,15		united 1:1 45:17
41:21	18:18 19:4 21:1,2	translated 23:22	university 4:7
talks 21:23,24,24	21:3,4 22:8,11,12	23:23	urias 6:4
task 14:24 21:13	23:22 24:10 26:6	translating 23:20	utilized 12:19
tasked 12:2	28:23 29:11 30:17	23:24	v
tatp.com 2:12	31:3 32:8 35:5,7	travel 39:12	va 4:8
tbattin 4:10	36:5,10,22 38:12	trenches 13:4	va 4.8 various 25:20 26:4
team 38:10 45:4	41:15 43:5 45:21	trial 29:24 38:6,10	view 17:11 34:15
teams 46:17	46:13,16,20 47:9	38:14 39:4 46:14	voelbel 5:13
tehama 7:7	thought 16:15,17	46:17	voluntarily 41:3
telephone 2:15	16:20 19:1 25:19 29:8 31:18	true 45:11	voluntarily 41.3
3:14 4:3 6:3,13		truly 27:24	
7:4,14 8:3,13 9:5	thousand 38:24	trump 2:5,5 20:21	
13:24 26:14 44:2		30:11 39:7 40:11	

# [wait - zelle.com]

w	words 23:19	
wait 15:11	work 11:21,24	
wake 11:15	12:19,24 14:10	
walk 27:5	15:19 16:8 19:22	
walker 34:3	19:25 20:12,13,13	
walker 34:33	20:16,23 22:2	
walnut 2:19	24:23,25 26:21	
want 10:8 16:7	28:17 29:20,22	
18:19 19:4 20:25	31:6,7,9 35:7 36:9	
27:11 28:21 43:22	38:16 44:8,24	
43:25 47:2,25	46:18 47:15	
wanted 44:6	worked 12:23	
wants 26:18	16:14 24:3 44:11	
war 38:15	44:24	
ward 6:4	working 26:6	
washington 13:24	35:24,25 45:24	
24:2	worksheets 26:21	
way 15:13 37:16	writing 45:9	
38:3 44:21 45:23	written 33:13,14	
46:4,19 47:5	wrong 35:21 39:10	
49:11	y	
we've 11:25 12:4,9	yahoo.com 4:20	
47:11	yeah 37:6 48:13	
wednesday 31:15	years 11:7 12:1	
47:17,20 48:10,12	34:24 45:22	
weekdays 44:12	Z	
weekends 44:12	zahid 22:3 30:14	
welcome 10:1	32:20 46:11	
west 6:16	zelle 5:13 20:14	
westlaw 23:1,4	21:8 24:18,19,22	
whack 20:4 37:16	25:4 28:15,17,18	
whatsoever 39:19	29:22 30:15,20	
wide 36:14	32:1,1,13 33:6,13	
wilkin 29:8	46:5,9	
wilkins 22:18	zelle.com 5:18	
winding 47:22		
witness 21:6		
woman 30:2		
woodward 8:7		
word 18:2		